



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,390	07/26/2001	Alexander James Hinchliffe	550-249	5035

7590

05/17/2004

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.
8th Floor
1100 North Glebe Road
Arlington, VA 22201-4714

EXAMINER

ZHEN, WEI Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2122

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/912,390

Applicant(s)

HINCHLIFFE ET AL.

Examiner

Wei Y Zhen

Art Unit

2122

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the application filed 7/26/2001.
2. Claims 1-39 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4, 6, 7-9, 14-19, 20-22, 27-32, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Diamant et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,202,153.

As per claim 1, Diamant et al. discloses

malware infection detecting logic operable to detect a malware infection of at least one computer (col. 2 lines 4-7 and col. 13 lines 33-45)

Art Unit: 2122

device disabling logic operable upon detection of said malware infection to disable operation of one or more data I/O devices of said at least one computer (col. 9 lines 48-54).

As per claim 2, Diamant et al. discloses

detects a malware infection by one or more of: positively identifying an item of malware upon said at least one computer and identifying behavior of said at least one computer indicative of malware infection (col. 2 lines 4-7, col. 9 lines 48-54 and col. 13 lines 33-45).

As per claim 3, Diamant et al disclose wherein one or more data I/O devices include one or more of: a floppy disk drive, a compact disk drive, a removable media drive and a network interface card (Fig. 4, "I/O interface").

As per claim 4, Diamant et al discloses

wherein said device disabling logic is operable upon detection of malware infection to disable at least one data I/O device of at least one further computer (col. 9 lines 48-54).

As per claim 6, Diamant et al discloses

said device disabling logic is operable to disable said one or more data I/O devices using an API call to an operating system of said at least one computer (col. 9 lines 48-54 and Fig. 4).

Claims 7-9 are rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 3, 6.

Claims 14-19 are rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6.

Art Unit: 2122

Claims 20-22 are rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 3, 6.

Claims 27-32 are rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6.

Claims 33-35 are rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 3, 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 10-13, 23-26, 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamant et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,202,153 in view of Reardon, U.S. Patent No. 6,212,635.

As per claim 5, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further Diamant et al does not explicitly disclose wherein said device disabling logic is operable to require user confirmation prior to disabling said one or more data I/O devices.

However, Reardon discloses require user confirmation prior to disabling data I/O devices (col. 29 lines 25-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Reardon into the teaching of Diamant et al to have the device disabling logic is operable to require user confirmation prior to disabling said one or more data I/O devices. The modification would have been obvious because one would want to have the user to have the flexibility to choose the action one would want to take against the malware infection.

Art Unit: 2122

Claim 10 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 5.

Claims 11-13 are rejected for the reason set forth in the rejections of claims 3, 4, 6.

Claim 23 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 5.

Claims 24-26 are rejected for the reason set forth in the rejections of claims 3, 4, 6.

Claim 36 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 5.

Claims 37-39 are rejected for the reason set forth in the rejections of claims 3, 4, 6.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wei Y Zhen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/912,390

Page 6

Art Unit: 2122

Wei Zhen
Primary Examiner
5/12/2004



WEI Y. ZHEN
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER